



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,390	12/12/2003	Ramin Oliver Assadollahi	Assadollahi PIM	9756
33525	7590	02/23/2009		
JONATHAN D. FEUCHTWANG				
2912 BRITTAN AVE				
SAN CARLOS, CA 94070				
EXAMINER				
ALVISTEIFFER, STEPHEN D				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2175				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/23/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/734,390

**Applicant(s)**ASSADOLLAHI, RAMIN  
OLIVER**Examiner**

Stephen Alvesteffer

**Art Unit**

2175

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Stephen Alvesteffer, examiner of record.(3) Jonathan Feuchtwang, Reg.#41,017, for applicant.(2) William Bashore, supervisory primary examiner.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 February 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Greene (US 6,377,925) and Dumais (US 2004/0267700).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative described the invention and the features that distinguish it from the cited prior art of record. Discussed amendments that might overcome the 35 USC 101 rejections and distinguish from the cited prior art of record. Further search and consideration of arguments will be made upon receipt of an official request for continued examination.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/William L. Bashore/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2175